

**Comments received to Consultation regarding Kemble Community Garden Deadline 14 December 2017**

Ref	Date	Support or Object to Housing	Name	Comments
K_2 - 101	23/11/17	Object	Louise Kinnaird (email)	No houses should be built, but if it has to be built on it should be to the west of the site. Proposed housing could overlook and shadow property and affect privacy. Housing directly behind properties back access would limit access. Many families use the gardens every day, place for making dens, hide and seek learning about gardening, mental and physical health. Would be the loss of valuable space. Allotments been used since the war. Habitat for nature and teaching area. Congestion caused by building on the site and after construction.
K_2 - 102	27/11/17	Support	Rob Linnell Savills (email)	No objections – sensible solution to the issues raised. K_1B could accommodate some of the lost units (4)
K_2 - 103	28/11/17	Object / Support	John Griffin (email)	Allotment holder and member of Kemble Community Garden. In favour of the site remaining as a whole. Believe the proposal outlined in K_2/11/17 is a workable compromise (reduction to eight houses) , but has to be under the control of the Parish Council
K_2 - 104	11/12/17	Object	Doug & Martina Yates (email)	Strongly opposed. The PC has not listened to views expressed by village residents. Have small children, would be a loss of a valuable space for them and future generations. Is a hub, a place to pass time, meeting point, playground. Wildlife area not present at any other green space in village. Comment regarding extra traffic on road including construction traffic
K_2 - 105	09/12/17	Object	Mike, Ben & Sue Prentice (email)	Would like it to stay as is. Son and friends use it in summer months
K_2 - 106	09/12/17	Object	Mike, Ben & Sue Prentice	Would like it to stay as is. Son and friends use it in summer months

Ref	Date	Support or Object to Housing	Name	Comments
			(email)	
K_2 - 107	08/12/17	Object	Steve Marsh (email)	Should be kept as a Green Space, valuable asset. There has been enough development in Kemble. Should be under the control of the PC
K_2 - 108	07/12/17	Object	Suzanne Hill (email)	Whole area should be left as it is. Valuable community asset for wildlife and people for variety of uses.
K_2 - 109	07/12/17	Object	Dr Gill Atkinson (email)	Should be protected in its entirety. Kemble has had much development. Space is a good combination of woodland, allotment, orchard - just large enough to feel away from it all. Haven for nature and people.
K_2 - 110	06/12/17	Object	Emily Bainbridge (email)	Has used the site for past 9 years, since project started. Should stay as is without housing. Rich source for community and wildlife value.
K_2 - 111	01/12/17	Support	Tony Berry (email)	CDC Councillor – Kemble Ward. New proposal favourable. This CDC-owned land was always recognised as future development land. Was chosen site by PC during village consultation for preferred development in LP consultation. Much local debate. Desire for bungalows – community housing association or affordable. Mix for old and young local people. Other open spaces are close by.
K_2 - 112	11/12/17	Object/Support	Adrian Thorne (email)	Lived in village 7 years, two young children, been allotment holder. Use it to socialise, walk alone or together, and children to play without crossing dangerous road. Windmill Road used as a rat run. Well-used allotment, sanctuary created by KCG volunteers. Would prefer space to be left, but if there is any development, I would like to see strong constraints over number of developments, design and implementation - not to reduce quality of the site.
K_2 - 113	12/12/17	Object/Support	Jill Steeden (email)	Resident since 2001 and allotment holder at KCG 10 yrs. Seen how space has become a much loved local resource, unique green space for wildlife and people. Safe environment. Best outcome would be for it all to be retained as a LGS, but if necessary there should be no more than 8 houses – with minimum impact on the rest of the land. Is an irreplaceable green space.
K_2 - 114	12/12/17	Object	Michael Kitchin (email)	Lucky to have space should be reserved as such. Rare for children to have a wild garden, because of allotment and holders a safe place to play. Proposal will affect mature trees. Approach road is a main pedestrian access to garden. Inadequate parking on site, always cars parked on roads, access issues. Plenty of users of the site as well as school take an interest. Considerable diversity of wildlife – recommend reconsidering building of any more houses in Manor Garden/ KGC area of Kemble.
K_2 -	13/12/17	Object	Judith Cutler	Accept that village needs affordable housing but reservations it is the right site. Site is well maintained and

Ref	Date	Support or Object to Housing	Name	Comments
115			(email)	well-loved for community and wildlife uses. Concerns regarding traffic and access.
K_2 - 116 & K_2 - 127	13/12/17 14/12/17	Object Object	<b>Comments made from community accompanied with 155 signatures</b>	Retention of site as a whole for Local Green Space. Kemble has a deficit of Green Space identified in previous CDC studies circa 2014 and Open Space, Sport and Recreation study 2011. Aforementioned contrary to CDC policies and NPPF as this is an important community asset. Evidence: site has been used since 19 <sup>th</sup> century for allotments, especially important during WW2. Community has developed the historically local important site. Kemble has seen significant housing in last few years. Original sites not allocated by PC or CDC are now in LP. Reference made to para 74 of NPPF. Waiting list for allotment on site. Village has seen significant growth 20 per cent. 32 dwellings allocated in Local Plan 37 have already been built. NPPF makes no reference to the subdivision of LGS, partial development contrary to the policy. This legally untested and unsound interpretation of the policy for splitting or reducing LGS is contrary to the spirit of the policy. Allocated development of the site contrary to NPPF and jeopardises the site's ability to meet the LGS criteria. Creates a deficit of accessible green space. Removal of natural and historic assets. Green space in Kemble should not be treated differently to any other LGS allocated in Local Plan - it is unfair and inequitable. Splitting of K_2 creates a dangerous precedent and potential challenge for judicial review and cannot be seen as of overriding public benefit.
K_2 - 117	14/12/17	Object	Jack Lawlor (email)	Building on Kemble Community Gardens terrible waste of green space - it is a living, breathing ecosystem and corner stone of the community. Grew up in Kemble, know the area well and think it should be preserved.
K_2 - 118	13/12/17	Object	Nicola Whelan (email)	Have reservations in allowing building works on site. Once green spaces are lost you cannot get them back. Beautiful and varied site enjoyed by young and old. So many people have taken time to make it an enjoyable space. Should be kept as is. Concern regarding access for new houses. Space works as a whole.
K_2 - 119	13/12/17	Object	Nicola Whelan (email)	Reduction to 8 dwellings welcome, but do not want the site to be developed at all. Space plays an important community role. Development would put Kemble in further deficit of open and LGS. CDC will be creating a deficit of LGS contrary to its own policies. Small net gain of 8 houses would not result in overriding public benefit - a small portion of the District's total housing need. Historic site - WW2 allotments. Village has seen significant growth - 20 per cent. PC do not own any land to provide alternative spaces. Additional points covered by Community letter. (K_2 – 106)
K_2 - 120	13/12/17	Object	Charlotte Lawlor (email)	Born and brought up in Kemble. Now 28 and living away. Outlines the community benefit of the site and community importance. Facts, figures and maps based on 'cold evidence'. Community will be devastated by the destruction of the Community Gardens.

Ref	Date	Support or Object to Housing	Name	Comments
K_2 - 121	13/12/17	Object	JA Hadfield (email)	Site should be designated as a LGS as a whole. Deficit of open space in Kemble, fewer public footpaths than the norm for a rural area. Been increase in building (Top Farm). 8 houses on garden significantly impact on site as a LGS as community asset. Site as planned would involve building on existing pond. Gardens are a valuable asset to the community, with a history. Enables families to grow their own vegetables. Contributes to mental and physical well-being, social cohesion for people of all ages, retired and working - all times of the week and year. Reference made to community letter K_2 1016
K_2 - 122	14/12/17	Object	Holly & John Soffe (email)	Objection to any building on Kemble Community Garden. Is a valuable village asset. Benefits of the space outweigh benefits that any buildings can bring.
K_2 - 123	14/12/17	Object	Dr Stephen Jenkins (email)	Whole site should be retained as a Local Green Space. Allotment holder, visit with children aged 6 and 3. Beautiful, tranquil area that whole family enjoy, antidote to stresses of daily life. As a doctor, I am aware of the health and well-being benefits of the space. Having the separation of the site, the benefits of the site would be lost. Conducted a poll on the Nextdoor App, a local community app accessed by smartphones. 90 per cent of respondents voted for the Local Green Space for the whole of the Community Garden. Happy to share details of the poll.
K_2 - 124	14/12/17	Object	GC Somerville (email)	Been involved with the garden from beginning in 2008. Supporting gardens in their entirety. The gardens put forward as a whole.
K_2 - 125	14/12/17	Object	Kate Lawlor Mark, Toby, Maximus Millard	Reduction to 8 houses from 12, but community does not want to see the site divided into two. All of the site should be a LGS. Site has an important community role, any development would jeopardise it meeting all of the LGS designation requirements. Will put community into deficit of Open Space, contrary to CDC's own policies. Site serves many people in many ways. Historical importance as allotment used in 19th century and WW2. Community have developed the site as it is today. 8 dwellings represent a small proportion of CDC need. Reference made to NPPF paragraph 74. Site is not surplus to requirement, no alternative site. Parish and CDC do not own any other land in area. NPPF makes no allowance for the subdivision of open spaces. Cannot see any subdivision as lawful. No precedents been set nationally or locally. This could set one. Is a legally untested and unsound interpretation of the policy for splitting the site. An amount of the site that was previously lost to development (manor Gardens). CDC never created a firm boundary during the Local Plan period where the site should be split. One emerged before the Examination.

Ref	Date	Support or Object to Housing	Name	Comments
K_2 - 126	14/12/17	Object	Stu Banham (email)	Lives in Kemble with wife and 3 ½ year old daughter. Community gardens play a role in the great, local spirit. Is the only easily accessible, uncultivated space in Kemble. Big role in daughter learning about the natural world. Gardens are an oasis of calm due to scale and lack of structure. Children of all ages play safely in cul de sac next to the garden. Worried that the development will make Old Manor Gardens a thoroughfare.
K_2 - 128	14/12/17	Object	Catherine Williams	(copy of letter See K_2 -116 ) Retention of site as a whole for Local Green Space.
K_2 - 129	14/12/17		JA Hadfield Letter	Hard copy of comments made in email reference (K_2 -121)