

No.	Question
Procedure / Process	
1.	<p>The recent consultation event was based on the premise that there were no plans for the town. However, this conflicted with the CDC video which was built around a promotion for the development of land in and alongside the Fire college.</p> <p>So the question is: Is the consultation about a genuine desire to seek and establish a genuine understanding of the views of residents on the number, location(s), types, and density of housing development or is it merely a promotion of the Moreton Garden village concept.</p>
2.	<p>My question is "why do I need to submit questions in advance for an open event which is supposed to be an opportunity to ask questions about the local development plan proposals & why ad hoc questions are not being entertained?"</p>
3.	<p>Will the CDC representatives provide a summary of the feedback they collected from the consultation at the Redesdale Hall on 6 March? The event was advertised as an opportunity for residents to inform CDC representatives of the infrastructure needed for the town and, according to the March edition of the Cotswold Roundup signed by Joe Harris, says it was "positive"; but <u>I did not see any representatives making any notes of the comments being made</u> (including some of my own, which I have listed below) to be incorporated into the development of the plans.</p>
4.	<p>Will there be a written and/or audio record of the meeting that will be publicly available afterwards? Not all residents can attend due to capacity in the venue or due to work commitments.</p>
5.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Show an outline plan of the proposal 2) When did work start on this update? 3) Why has the SHLAA not been updated to go with the LPU so that we can see where housing is required? 4) What is CDCs requirement for housing in the period 2030-2041 and how is this being delivered in the LPU by location? 5) Why is the LP being updated now? 6) Policy S18 7.21.2 states Moreton has an employment base of “about 2000 jobs with a higher than average proportion of those jobs in growth employment sectors and a good balance of jobs to workers”. What evidence is this based on? 7) CDC and their Planners have described the proposal as a Garden Village. A Garden Village is (Town and Country Planning Association): <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ a ‘new discrete settlement, and not an extension of an existing town or village. This does not exclude proposals where there are already a <u>few</u> existing homes’; ■ local authority led, with support from the community and the Local Enterprise Partnership;

No.	Question
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ 'well designed', 'high-quality' and 'attractive'; and ■ embedding key Garden City principles to develop communities that 'stand out from the ordinary' and do not 'use 'garden' as a convenient label'. <p>What is being proposed is not a “new discrete settlement”, does not have community support and is miles away from any influence of the LEP which folds at the end of March 2024 anyway.</p> <p>Is this a Garden Village or is it simply a huge expansion of Moreton East and therefore not attract any Government funding for design, etc?</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 8) “A garden village should be characterised by their social and cultural vibrancy”. This specifically describes a Garden Village as separate from other settlements. Is that what is proposed? 9) How many houses are planned to be built in the East Morton “Garden Village” by 2041 and beyond? 10) What assurances are there that any planning conditions given by CDC to developers are not incompetently or negligently constructed so as to make them unenforceable as they have been at Cotswold Gate? 11) Why would the residents of Moreton have any confidence in CDC being able to ensure any developer will deliver the plan agreed when the developers at The Rissingtons have reneged on the construction of community assets? 12) How much more land on the Fire Service College is expected to become available by 2040? 13) Has the Chief Executive of CDC had discussions with Capita main board directors about the future availability of land at the Fire Service College? 14) Has any work been done in looking to develop Kemble or Bledington, to take advantage of their proximity to under-utilised train stations, as a “Garden Village”? If not why not? 15) It has been confirmed that CDC have already met their housing requirement for the period to 2030 and that any further development would go against the 2030-2041 targets, so please explain, for whose benefit is the proposal for permission for 300 additional houses now together with the allocation of development for items only required if the LPU is accepted as presented? 16) East Morton is in a special landscape area. The definition from CDCs own website is <i>The Special Landscape Area (SLA) designation provides protection for locally significant and attractive landscapes that are of comparable quality to Areas of Outstanding Natural Beauty (AONB). They should be protected and enhanced, particularly through the planning process.</i> What considerations and protections have been, or will be, afforded to the development by CDC in the planning process? 17) Who authorised the changes to the Integrated Impact Assessment (IIA) for the Cotswold Local Plan update by AECOM without informing CDC councillors or members of the public?

No.	Question
	<p>18) In light of CDC's email to MIMTC confirming the changes to documentation supplied to CDC councillors, do we now agree that Cllr Jenkinson slurred a Moreton-in-Marsh town Councillor on social media with the following comment</p> <p>“This is serious misinformation. As a councillor you should not mislead the people of the town. There is extensive reporting of of Evenlode flooding. It appears in multiple report sections with all the history and all the place it has happened, including Moreton in 2020 — all the streets. Just because it was not in the place you looked, don't presume it is not known about. Or was this just passed along as word of mouth? Given your official position, you should post an official retraction and correction. If you need references because you have not studied the data properly I can do that work for you. I value your concerns on behalf of youth and other social issues and the fact that you care about flooding, but this is not serving the town well. So for all the residents: I have had extensive conversations with officers and cabinet about these issues over previous months and weeks and engage with the Thames Water and Riverlution and officers over those problems too. The Evenlode is well known for all of its course through the District and even the effects on West Oxfordshire.. The officers are competent and conscientious people and have extensive databases to refer to. They want to do the best for the District and it's a tough job and they don't deserve abuse.”</p> <p>Can I ask that he deletes the comment and offers an apology to my colleague?</p> <p>19) It is clear that Cllr Jenkinson is unable to represent the people of East Moreton on this LPU, given their opposition to this proposal. Will he resign?</p>
6.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Uninformed on the many relevant local topics, • Without first advancing the infrastructure projects essential to servicing the existing stock of housing and then the proposed housing stock. • In a limited venue clearly unsuited to accommodate the large level of interest and concern.
Policy (including evidence base)	
7.	<p>Jo Harris, Leader of CDC continually and vociferously talks about the Council's Green credentials.</p> <p>In the light of this Green agenda the Council has adopted, how does CDC's key aim of being 'Green', sit with the proposed Plan for MIM, specifically in relation to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Building on areas of AONB (west of Moreton) and the SLA designated area to the east of the town • Environmental issues which will have a material impact on: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Flooding from runoff into waterways and the impact on the already high-water table in this area ○ Air pollution - already a problem along the High Street/A429/A44 in the town ○ Pollution of the waterways - the current sewerage facility is unfit for purpose and with a CSO introduced, the levels of dumping sewerage into our waterways is already unacceptable and impacts directly on many town residents.

No.	Question																																								
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Massive increase in car usage (assuming an average of 2 cars per additional dwelling) for commuters and people shopping/accessing leisure and other facilities outside of Moreton - as is the case now. • Large developments of houses by major developers who do not build eco/environmentally friendly houses e.g. heat efficient, use solar energy, eco-friendly building materials or construction methods etc. <p>I look forward to hearing a full response. However, if this question is not tabled for the meeting on the 21st March at the Fire College, please respond by e mail.</p>																																								
8.	<p>Whilst I accept there is a housing shortage crisis and the government are committing district councils to hit those targets, I strongly feel that Moreton has had more than its fair share of new homes built over the last 20 years, which examples of census population increases testify, but with no improvements to the infrastructure:</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="257 619 1008 1077"> <thead> <tr> <th>Town</th> <th>2001</th> <th>2021</th> <th>Change</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Moreton in Marsh</td> <td>3199.</td> <td>5015.</td> <td>+1816</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Stow on the Wold.</td> <td>2074.</td> <td>1905.</td> <td>-169</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Bourton on the Water.</td> <td>3093.</td> <td>4178.</td> <td>+1085</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Chipping Campden.</td> <td>1943.</td> <td>2121.</td> <td>+178</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Broadway</td> <td>3025.</td> <td>3478.</td> <td>+453</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Blockley</td> <td>1124.</td> <td>1067.</td> <td>-57</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Chipping Norton</td> <td>5687.</td> <td>6985.</td> <td>+1298</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Northleach</td> <td>1923.</td> <td>1993.</td> <td>+70</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Cirencester</td> <td>16032.</td> <td>17734.</td> <td>+1702</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>Quite alarming statistics showing our population has increased the most compared to our nearest counterparts and even more than Cirencester!and more worryingly without any improvements to the town's infrastructure?!?</p> <p>Surely other areas within the district could share the burden of development and being in an AONB shouldn't be used as an obstacle in the decision process. Northleach is an ideal example of an underdeveloped town with good transport links as an alternative.</p> <p>I would also like to point out that Broadway managed to have a bypass built in 1998 without having to provide a mass of housing development to fund it and is in an AONB, so why can't Moreton be afforded the same privilege? Broadway has definitely prospered with the bypass by reducing traffic congestion, increasing road safety as well as making the village itself more inspiring to tourists which in turn benefits it financially and economically.</p>	Town	2001	2021	Change	Moreton in Marsh	3199.	5015.	+1816	Stow on the Wold.	2074.	1905.	-169	Bourton on the Water.	3093.	4178.	+1085	Chipping Campden.	1943.	2121.	+178	Broadway	3025.	3478.	+453	Blockley	1124.	1067.	-57	Chipping Norton	5687.	6985.	+1298	Northleach	1923.	1993.	+70	Cirencester	16032.	17734.	+1702
Town	2001	2021	Change																																						
Moreton in Marsh	3199.	5015.	+1816																																						
Stow on the Wold.	2074.	1905.	-169																																						
Bourton on the Water.	3093.	4178.	+1085																																						
Chipping Campden.	1943.	2121.	+178																																						
Broadway	3025.	3478.	+453																																						
Blockley	1124.	1067.	-57																																						
Chipping Norton	5687.	6985.	+1298																																						
Northleach	1923.	1993.	+70																																						
Cirencester	16032.	17734.	+1702																																						

No.	Question
	The primary school is oversubscribed and too small physically and I'm sure Moreton warrants a secondary school on those figures alone?
9.	Can the planners and elected representatives on Cotswold District Council reassure the town's residents that the aesthetics in terms of the design, style and overall external <u>appearance</u> of all new properties granted planning permission in or on the edges of our town will in future not just comply with the mandatory design guidelines and environmental and climate requirements but will also involve the use of imaginative, creative architects and developers committed to creating original beautiful, individual, homes in which people will be delighted to live, where they can be proud of their homes and which will enhance the areas in which they are constructed? We want no more dull, identical tacky tacky boxes which all the recent research reveals bring little joy to the lives of their occupants and can indeed often be injurious to their health. There is much which can be learned from European planners and architects, and it is clear if the new buildings are life-enhancing the local opposition reduces
10.	The phrase 'garden village' has started to be used in relation to Moreton-in-Marsh's development; does CDC aspire to bid for Government funding for garden village status? Garden villages are settlements of between 1,500 and 10,000 homes; does CDC envision building up to 10,000 homes in Moreton-in-Marsh?
11.	Why is the land available around Kemble considered a "more sensitive landscape"? It's not clear what is more sensitive about this landscape when, as part the Cotswold District Local Plan 2011-2031 map, the countryside around both places are designated Special Landscape Areas.
12.	What assessment has CDC given to the potential for flooding in Moreton-in-Marsh? Flooding remains a concern given the town is situated in a 'bowl' in the landscape and the impact the climate emergency is having on severe rainstorms.
13.	Can CDC confirm it will not proceed with building 1,500+ homes (as indicated in the "Development Strategy, Vision & Objectives" document site) if CDC cannot afford to build the additional infrastructure needed for 1,500+ homes or compel those responsible for building the additional infrastructure? It is concerning that CDC would proceed to approve housing development of that scale without the infrastructure needed to support a significantly larger community.
14.	The "Development Strategy, Vision & Objectives" document states that Moreton-in-Marsh has "good provision" of employment; on what data does CDC base this assertion and how many further jobs will be created for the residents of the 1,500+ homes that could be developed? According to the 2021 census there were 2,837 people of full-time working age (18-64) in Moreton-in-Marsh; what data does CDC have to clarify how many of those people work in / in the immediate vicinity of Moreton-in-Marsh?
15.	The "Development Strategy, Vision & Objectives" document states that CDC want to reduce car use by developing in areas with better access to public transport, such as Moreton-in-Marsh because of the train station. What data does CDC have to support the vision that the additional residents will use the train rather than drive for work? There seems to be a tension between the aspiration to reduce car use and enable residents to work more locally; places of local employment nearby (e.g. Cirencester, Banbury, Witney, Cheltenham) will all require continued car use.

No.	Question
16.	Why is there a "Framework Masterplan for Cirencester Town Centre" but not for Moreton-in-Marsh, given the scale of proposed development in Moreton-in-Marsh is proportionately greater?
17.	<p>A plan view map of the town, using the Fosseway as something of a natural centre line, shows it is obvious the very substantial development proposals for the Fire College site will create an undeniable over expansion towards the East of the town centre.</p> <p>The extra facilities proposed for this site are purported to be of benefit to the town's population. However, it will In fact mean those living nearer, or to the west, of the centre will have to travel further to utilise these thereby nullifying any notional advantage of such development.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - What sound reasoning is behind this proposal? - Have CDC explored potential sites to the West of the town centre? - What justification is there in permitting such large-scale developments without ensuring infrastructure is upgraded in line with the seeming free-for-all enjoyed by developers when existing infrastructure cannot cope with present increases? - How will you ensure improvements agreed to by developers will be carried through? <p>What powers does CDC in fact have in this respect?</p>
18.	<p>One of the main reasons for needing more housing is to provide affordable homes for young locals looking to get onto the property ladder.</p> <p>To make sure this happens, how many of the affordable homes being built will have a Section 106 Local Occupancy clause attached to their planning conditions?</p>
19.	<p>You appear to be saying to those who do not live in Moreton who went to the Redesdale Hall that they are not getting a better chance to see the full effect of the proposals in their area?</p> <p>The original exhibition was challenging, Moreton residents occupying the planners time meant others unable to speak to them. An officer I spoke to was not a positive experience to the point of telling me to email in with my query when asking to see the proposed areas in my village.</p>
20.	<p>Policy S18 7.21.2 states Moreton has an employment base of “about 2000 jobs with a higher than average proportion of those jobs in growth employment sectors and a good balance of jobs to workers”.</p> <p>What evidence is this based on?</p>
21.	<p>(1) - what is CDC’s vision for MiM for 2041. Not just in terms of numbers of housing, but also employment & prosperity (including tourism, agriculture, technology, etc.), soft and hard infrastructure, quality of life for residents, the High Street.</p>

No.	Question
	<p>(2) how does the update support the key things residents want Moreton to be described as (in our survey it was to 2031)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - safe - traditional market town - friendly - thriving - green / leafy <p>(3) how does the update address the 5 top areas of concern for residents</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - heavy goods vehicles and traffic congestion - particularly on the High Street - excessive population growth (43% increase in just 10 years) but now higher than that as development continues - loss of green space to development - flooding - anti-social behaviour & crime <p>(4) how does the update enhance the top 5 things residents like about living in Moreton</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - access to countryside & green spaces (physical and visual) - access to the rail network - access to Cotswold villages and towns (but difficult often by public transport) - access to the main road network - healthcare services <p>(5) how will we be able to see that residents' comments are:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - listened to - noted - acted upon

No.	Question
22.	<p>The Environment Agency are investigating "elevated levels" of PFAS with the most likely source being the FSC and in a letter from Capita dated 22 Feb.2024 quote. "We do request that conditions are attached to any planning permissions for the site and surrounding area to ensure that these chemicals are a consideration in any development."</p> <p>Q. What enforcement will CDC undertake to safeguard against such environmental contamination on any proposed development?</p>
23.	<p>What assurances are there that any planning conditions given by CDC to developers are not incompetently or negligently constructed so as to make them unenforceable as they had been at Cotswold Gate?</p>
24.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. What is the evidence for the assertions made in the local plan such as <i>"Moreton-in-Marsh is widely regarded as the main service centre for the north Cotswolds", ... benefitting from a wide range of services...and employment opportunities....good bus services. (7.21.1)</i> And <i>"Moreton has a good employment base (about 2,000 jobs) with a higher than average proportion of those jobs in growth employment sectors and a good balance of jobs to workers" (7.21.2)</i> 2. Do you intend to update these assertions given some of the changes that have taken place in the town. (For instance, loss of the police station, the post office, the Wellington public house, HSBC bank, Dormer House school etc to housing). Also, the town centre has lost several shops to other uses. We have no NHS dentist, book shop, cinema/ theatre, shoe shop etc. but we do have 7 hotels and public houses, 14 restaurants/ tea rooms, 9 hairdressers/beauticians, 6 charity shops, 7 antiques/fine arts establishments, and 6 estate agents. 3. Moreton's infrastructure is creaking under the weight of overdevelopment in the last few years. Sewage is routinely released into the River Evenlode, parking is a nightmare, the A429 is often backed up and those of us that were badly affected by the floods of 2007 are constantly concerned during heavy rainfall about the possibility of flooding. Given that we know that the country has no money, how are infrastructure improvements going to be paid for? 4. Regarding highway congestion, you state that <i>"options are being explored to reduce traffic and deliver modal shift from private vehicle trips to more sustainable forms of transport"</i>. In rural areas such as ours the reality is that the door-to-door service provided by car ownership is by far the most convenient, comfortable and quickest way to get around. The limitations of bus travel are not just choice of destinations, but the length of the journey (often nearly double that taken by car) frequency (we have no evening or Sunday services) and capacity (a seat cannot always be guaranteed). Considering that there is still no footpath to Fosseyway garden centre (despite a planning condition for on to be provided) how likely do you think any options will work? 5. What consideration has been given to the impact that extra housing in Moreton will have on nearby towns. E.g. more congestion on A429 in Stow 6. Are there any plans to make the heritage museum at the FSC more easily available for people to visit?

No.	Question
	7. There is much interaction Regarding extra employment sites is it not possible that these will lead to more traffic as employees may well not live in Moreton but travel from places such as Shipston, Chipping Norton etc.
25.	“How many houses are planned to be built in the East Morton ‘Garden Village’ by 2040?”
26.	<p>Following the event held on 6th March, I have more questions and uncertainty regarding plans and have outlined in brief below a few questions concerning the town infrastructure and also how decisions regarding land deemed suitable for building upon is decided.</p> <p>In the Moreton-in-Marsh Site Assessment (2021) land that was decided as unsuitable for residential or employment use, we were told is now a possibility. An example reason in one assessment was given as ‘.... it is in a Special Landcape Area.’ And ‘.....Development would break North of Todenham Road, which a historic boundary of the town, and would intrude into open countryside.’ Please can you explain how some of the previous decisions made no longer stand if this is the case and the information provided on 6th March is correct.</p> <p>The information provided regarding the proposed bypass is limited with no clear indication yet of where this could be, although I understand you will be undertaking a feasibility assessment and report by January 2025. Please can you provide any more details and confirm how existing livelihoods/amenities will be considered e.g. not built in close proximity, noise levels etc.</p> <p>What will be done to consider existing infrastructure/amenities e.g. healthcare, poor road conditions, flood risk etc, which are unlikely to support increased demand/usage?</p>
27.	With potentially thousands of new homes being built, how will biodiversity be protected and how will you ensure that the continued destruction of our rivers and streams will be prevented? The infrastructure is clearly not working now, anymore development will further destroy what is left.
28.	<p>- Please provide an outline plan of the proposal.</p> <p>Why has the SHLAA not been updated to go with the LPU so that we can see where housing is required?</p> <p>- Has any work been done in looking to develop Kemble or Bledington (or any other location) as a 'Garden Village'? If not, especially given their underutilised train stations, why not?</p>
29.	<p>Q1. What is the exact location of the proposed bypass, as details are very vague.</p> <p>Q2. Given that recent building sites given permission to build social housing, it seems the money which should be issued as part of the CIL has been cancelled out, why?</p> <p>Q3. The current permitted building plans for new houses in Moreton in Marsh seem to ignore the processes stipulated by CDC Planning & the CDC Planning office are too busy to assess/police the process giving the building companies cart-Blanche to do whatever they like, regardless of neighbouring residents. Why is this being allowed to be continually done?</p>

No.	Question
30.	<p>1). CDC and their Planners have described the proposal as a Garden Village. A Garden Village is:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ a ‘new discrete settlement’, and not an extension of an existing town or village. This does not exclude proposals where there are already a few existing homes’; ■ local authority led, with support from the community and the Local Enterprise Partnership (LEP) ■ ‘well designed’, ‘high-quality’ and ‘attractive’; and ■ embedding key Garden City principles to develop communities that ‘stand out from the ordinary’ and do not ‘use ‘garden’ as a convenient label’. <p>What is being proposed is not a “new discrete settlement”, does not have community support and is miles away from any influence of the LEP.</p> <p>Is this a Garden Village or is it simply a huge expansion of Moreton East and therefore not attract any Government funding for design, etc?</p> <p>2). Who authorised the changes to the Integrated Impact Assessment (IIA) for the Cotswold Local Plan update by AECOM?</p> <p>3). With the current traffic flow, congestion, noise pollution, sewage discharge and water supply challenges already experienced in Moreton, how on earth can a development of this magnitude even be considered viable? Any what about local amenities, doctors, schools, leisure facilities, etc. How are these to be managed?</p>
31.	<p>East Moreton is in a special landscape area (SLA). The definition from CDCs website says that the SLA designation provides protection for locally significant and attractive landscapes that are of comparable quality to AONB. They should protected and enhanced, particularly through the planning process.</p> <p>What considerations and protections have been or will be afforded to the “East Moreton Garden Village” development by the CDC in the planning process?</p>
32.	<p>The NPPF, dated December 2023, states in paragraph 165:-</p> <p>“Inappropriate development in areas at risk of flooding should be avoided by directing development away from areas at highest risk (whether existing or future). Where development is necessary in such areas, the development should be made safe for its lifetime without increasing flood risk elsewhere”.</p> <p>As has been seen and recorded, Moreton is at risk of flooding despite assurances from the EA that the likelihood is only once in a hundred years. Is it therefore responsible planning to build on a flood plain which acts as an attenuation reservoir for farmland and villages downstream of the River Evenlode such as Bledington? Despite being advised that the Dunstall Farm development would contain its runoff water, a channel has already been constructed alongside the railway line directing this water into the Evenlode. Please can CDC assure members of the public that any future development will only be permitted so long as all the runoff water, caused by the development, will be fully contained on site.</p>
33.	<p>* What is the expected total of new housing in CDC over the new Plan period? Where and in what quantity is this expected to be distributed? How much is expected to be placed in Moreton in Marsh?</p> <p>How is CDC specifically planning to manage the impact of new development in Moreton? That is - specifically rather than generally or estimates. What actual action is to be taken?</p>

No.	Question
Infrastructure	
34.	<p>Primary Pupil Place Planning.</p> <p>I have been the business manager at the primary school for a number of years. The school currently has capacity for 315 pupils but only has 256. The significant fall in birth rate means that by September 24 instead of 45 pupils being in each year group (reception to year 2) the number will be less than 35. When the current developments (Spitfire and Evenlode Road) were originally planned GCC worked with the school on a plan to expand to take 60 pupils each year, an extra 15 in each year group. This expansion was not economic, as the school is built in flood zone 3.</p> <p>However, the birth rate reduction has generated that 15-place capacity. Also, the reduction in births impacts neighbouring schools, giving parents more choice about where to educate their children.</p> <p>The immediate plan for 310 houses on The Fire College with a 2-form entry primary school will not generate sufficient pupils to make that new school financially viable. As every new school requires an Academy sponsor, which Academy Trust would be naive enough to take on the management of a school on this basis?</p> <p>My questions relating to pupil place planning are.</p> <p>Given the capacity in the local primary school will developers of the additional 310 houses have to contribute to building a new school?</p> <p>What pupil numbers do you expect to be generated from both the current developments and the 310 immediate houses, based on current birth rates?</p> <p>What work has GCC done to develop an economically sustainable model for the new school and investigate possible academy sponsors?</p> <p>What models have GCC supplied to you to show how the fall in primary school pupil numbers will impact the local secondary school?</p> <p>Rural deprivation</p> <p>Currently 22.7% of the pupils in the primary school are eligible for free school meals. For less academic families the main sources of employment locally are linked to hospitality and care. Travel distances and associated costs make it unlikely residents will travel to larger towns for minimum/low wage employment.</p> <p>My question relating to rural deprivation is</p> <p>How will the current plans, which increase social housing, ensure less academic residents are able to access sufficient employment opportunities, appropriate to them, within a reasonable commuting distance?</p> <p>Technical Post 16 Education</p>

No.	Question
	<p>We are blessed with two outstanding local secondary schools. Funding is such that these schools are unable to offer a wide range of technical qualifications for post 16 pupils, their 6th forms have entry criteria requiring students to achieve above a certain grade (generally level 4 or above) in a particular number of GCSEs (generally 4 or 5). In general 40 - 45% of year 11 pupils leave their secondary school to work or study elsewhere.</p> <p>Post 16 students who do not achieve these grades or who do not wish to study academic A levels have to remain in education or employment with education. This means accessing a college, for residents in Moreton the options are in Cirencester, Gloucester, and Witney. The travel times and costs are excessive. This leads to pupils dropping out of college and increasing rural deprivation.</p> <p>My question relating to Post 16 Education is</p> <p>How do the current plans support the technical education of post 16 pupils?</p> <p>How can they be enhanced to ensure all pupils have affordable, time efficient access to appropriate education?</p> <p>Water Quality and Forever Chemicals</p> <p>I am sure you are fully aware of the issues Moreton has with the management of sewage and high levels of discharge into the Evenlode. It also has significantly high levels of forever chemicals polluting the water system.</p> <p>Although current practice is much improved, one source of forever chemicals was firefighting foam. Will it be safe for the pupils in the new primary school to eat the carrots they grow in the school garden?</p> <p>My questions relating to this are</p> <p>Can CDC guarantee that no additional houses will be built in Moreton, beyond 50 on the Spitfire site and the current Evenlode development until the Thames Water infrastructure has been fully developed to ensure capacity for any additional houses?</p> <p>What work will need to be done on the fire college site to remove any forever chemical contamination?</p> <p>Flooding</p> <p>As you know Moreton in Marsh is susceptible to flooding. Recent developments in Davies Road and Stockwells have increased the amount of water entering the Evenlode to the rear of Croft Holm.</p> <p>My question relating to this is</p> <p>Where would the rain run-off, both from houses and roads be directed? Can you guarantee that this will only reach the river Evenlode avoiding all residential areas.</p> <p>Can you guarantee that this would not increase the risk of flooding in the east of the town?</p>

No.	Question
35.	<p>“As all of you on the Local and District Councils have a responsibility and duty of care to the community, what is the rationale behind permitting large scale building in Moreton-in-Marsh and surrounding areas to go ahead before ensuring that the issues relating to the local sewage system, Primary and Secondary schooling, doctors’ surgeries, roadways, wildlife and environment, have been appropriately addressed”.</p>
36.	<p>I also appreciate the level of thought and care that has gone into these questions.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • I expect you are aware that CDC and its officers cannot ensure certain matters, they can only facilitate or provide the appropriate resources to enable, in some cases even that depends on others. For example, the aim is to provide the possibility for entrepreneurial activity, development, and infrastructure in a number of cases. CDC for example does not provide employment but it can do something to create zones in which business development could take place and it can ask for funding for workspaces. How that gets taken up is another matter — of course it's not normally done unless there is reason to believe that it would be desired. • I am intrigued on the question of schools; I've been trying to understand this now for months. talked to several people who have claimed expertise. I've contacted the school and I've also talked to county and district. I note that the town council was adamant at the time of the Dunstall Road application that it should not take place without provision of schooling. It was raised as a material cause for objection. Somehow the situation has turned itself round in no time at all. At some point I think it will be very useful if the working group assesses this and the assumptions behind the statistics. Perhaps this would be something for us to meet about? • I'm very concerned about the situation with post 16 pupils, both the aspect that you raise and the fact that academic pupils are required to pay such large sums of money for transport. I don't think that's a level playing field. I'm also aware of this alternate point that you make and I'm keen that we investigate it further. • Are you aware that the Dunstall Road development has its own private water company to take care of the foul water output?
37.	<p>With 8,400 new dwellings would it not be reasonable to include in the upcoming Plan with the increasing number of cars and vans (vehicles) and an identifiable need for parking at home and at work to set out development of new affordable homes or redevelopment shall include 2 parking spaces (car port and one space) for the first bedroom and one parking space for each additional bedroom to be accessed by only <u>one</u> entry from the street onto the plot of land thereby leaving the street uncluttered with vehicles and providing parking on the plot of land itself?</p> <p>The proposed Technical Housing Standards may assist.</p> <p>A similar requirement for new employment areas or redevelopment of existing retail units.</p> <p>I have read proposed plan Pages 23 to 32 100 to 110</p>

No.	Question
	167 to 172
38.	Currently children in Morton-in-Marsh fall under the catchment area for Chipping Campden School, which boasts facilities for pupils, such as a gym, fitness suite, indoor swimming pool and performing arts centre/theatre. Can you promise that equivalent facilities would be provided in any secondary school built in an expanded Moreton-in-Marsh? <u>Anything less than the current facilities available at Chipping Campden would be depriving Moreton-in-Marsh's children/pupils and families of the facilities currently available to them.</u>
39.	Bourton-on-the-Water (2021 census population 4,178) currently has a leisure centre, including a gym and 25meter / four lane swimming pool; currently Moreton-in-Marsh residents (2021 census population 5,015) have to drive to Bourton-on-the-Water for leisure facilities, which is not in line with the development plan's aspiration to reduce car use. Given the expansion of Moreton-in-Marsh's population with development, will you include a new sports centre with equivalent facilities, perhaps as part of the secondary school. as part of the plan?
40.	Given the extra infrastructure that is needed (e.g. extra school[s], sewage, roads such as a by-pass that has been mooted), can CDC afford the extra infrastructure that is needed?
41.	Can CDC compel those responsible for extra infrastructure to build the necessary infrastructure? (e.g. Thames Water for the sewage infrastructure; an additional supermarket - as Aldi and the Co-op already cater for people around the neighbouring areas and new Moreton-in-Marsh residents, likely housed to the east of the railway line, would otherwise need to drive, to take home bags of shopping, given its location)
42.	<p>Thames Water's own data shows that its sewage treatment works at Moreton in Marsh has insufficient capacity for the current population even before one takes into account the houses being built at the moment (e.g. at the Spitfire site)</p> <p>Thames Water has also had to delay their planned upgrade to those works, an upgrade which in any case underestimates actual population growth, and any future improvements are dependent on it having sufficient funding.</p> <p>So I would like to ask:</p> <p>How does CDC propose to ensure that Thames Water provides the infrastructure needed to deal properly and in a 'green to the core' way with Moreton in Marsh's waste IN ADVANCE of further and larger housing developments (eg at the Fire Service College or as part of any 'Garden Village') being completed</p> <p>AND</p> <p>If Grampian conditions are thought to be the answer, how does CDC propose to police and enforce these successfully?</p>

No.	Question
43.	Given the proposed increase in Moreton's population, and therefore an expected growth in train travel to and from Oxford and London, what links have been established with the appropriate railway authorities to press for the redoubling of the line from Charlbury to Oxford, thereby enabling two trains an hour in each direction, instead of the present hourly service?
44.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. How does the proposed plan benefit the people of the area in regard to transport, health, living standards, environmental and general wellbeing. 2. How were the people of MiMarsh and surrounding villages consulted in regard to these needs. 3. How will their needs be reflected in the execution of the plan.
45.	Will CDC ensure that ALL infrastructure relating to roads, sewerage works, schools, healthcare (including doctors) and any other necessary facility is adequate and operating effectively BEFORE more development takes place.
46.	<p>Since Moreton In Marsh currently has very limited sewage and water infrastructure, will CDC receive, in writing, Thames Water's commitment to fund & build the complete sewage/wastewater infrastructure needed before any additional homes (let alone 1500 additional homes) are added?</p> <p>Will Thames Water provide a work project timeline? I am asking not about developers' commitments but about Thames Water's commitment.</p> <p>There is raw sewage running through some of the ditches that flow from Moreton In Marsh to the watercourses identified in the SFRA I mapping and the 2015 Water cycle study (still awaiting updating). These ditches carry this water into surrounding SLA villages of Evenlode, Little Wolford, Great Wolford, and the lower end of Chastleton. This fact reinforces the point that Thames Water must hugely improve the sewage/wastewater infrastructure before burdening it further.</p>
47.	<p>Section 7.21.9 in the Proposed Local Plan states: "a route is protected in the Local Plan for a new Road. This will connect London Road (A44) to Todenham Road and the A429 to the south of Moreton with the A429 north of the town via the east of the town."</p> <p>Please can you identify for us exactly where this road will be sited, especially as it appears it will need to run through a Special Landscape Area (SLA) and possibly another county?</p> <p>Does GCC, who are responsible for Road building, have the funds to commit to such a road? One that will need special civil engineering to deal with the floodplains and known water courses if will have to cross. I refer you to SFRA I map with dark blue, high risk flood areas clearly indicated.</p>
48.	<p>Why is Moreton in marsh being targeted by CDC with all these houses? Clearly there appears to be an unfair distribution of building in this area (over the last 10 years, over 1000 houses have been built) without any constructive plans to improve the infrastructure of the town Sewage Plants. - Doctors, Dentists, NHS, Road Improvements, Schools</p> <p>The Fossway and the town of Moreton risks becoming grid locked!!!</p> <p>Last but not least, a major worry with all this house building, is the great risk of flooding!!!</p>

No.	Question
49.	<p>1. What provision has been made for wastewater treatment? The sewage works in Moreton is already at capacity and many of the pumping stations on the newer estates (Moreton park for example) have to be regularly pumped out by tankers. In addition there are storm overflows discharged regularly. Additional housing and roads will exacerbate this issue.</p> <p>2. Is there provision for a secondary school and a new primary school?</p>
50.	<p>When is Thames Water (TW) going to have the adequate, future proofed upgrades completed to the Moreton-in-Marsh Sewage Pumping Station (SPS) and Sewage Treatment Works (STW)?</p> <p>Their system is failing to cope with the towns current population and TW are illegally dry spilling their stinking toxic cocktail into the middle of our town on a regular basis.</p> <p>In November 2023 TW informed members of Riverlution Evenlode that they only had plans to increase capacity of the works by 15% and that these plans would be carried out sometime before 2030. These plans will not be enough to deal with the developments currently being built, let alone future proof Moreton-in-Marsh for CDCs local plan.</p> <p>Does CDC trust TW enough to deliver an acceptable, future proofed upgrade to their works and to have it completed in good time BEFORE development in Moreton-in-Marsh starts?</p>
51.	<p>1. How many houses need to be built to support a) a primary school and b) a secondary school?</p> <p>2. How many houses need to be built to support a bypass?</p> <p>3. What will stop Section 106/CIL money from the hundreds/thousands of houses planned from being given to Chipping Campden (on account of the secondary school being there) when Moreton in Marsh is in dire need of infrastructure?</p>
52.	<p>We live in Moreton in marsh my husband is very ill at the moment we phoned this morning to get an appointment with the doctor they couldn't offer us an appointment or even a phone call until May. What guarantee are you going to offer us that the doctors' appointments are not going to get any worse than they are now. What guarantee are you going to give us that our houses won't be flooded out when you have finished building on a flood plain, the water has to go somewhere. We think that all the residents of Moreton needed to be included in a vote on what goes on in our town</p>
53.	<p>Why is the rail station at Moreton seen as such a sustainable asset in allocating new housing across the District? Placing new homes on the Eastern edge of the District with direct rail links to Oxford and London is likely to encourage external commuters to buy houses in Moreton. Those houses will, therefore, no longer be available to or affordable by local CDC residents for which the Council should be providing. Isn't it rather simplistic and now, outdated to assume that a transport link, such as a rail station, serving OUTSIDE the District should be a focus for new homes which should be for those WITHIN the District?</p>